

WG2N1370

Meeting Report of the Harmonization of MFI & MDR Meeting  
(Nov. 12<sup>th</sup> – 13<sup>th</sup>, 2009. BSI, London, UK)

Attendees :

US:(6)

- Dan Gillman
- Denise Warzel
- Frank Farance
- Kevin Keck
- Laura Reece
- Bruce Bargmeyer

UK:(1)

- Keith Gordon

CA:(2)

- Baba Paprani
- Ray Gates

CN:(5)

- Chong WANG
- Guangshi SUN
- Jian WANG
- Liqiang XING
- Yangfan HE

KR:(2)

- Dong-Won Jeong
- Jangwon Kim

JP:(4)

- Hajime Horiuchi
- Masaharu Obayashi
- Masao Okabe
- Tatsumi Adachi

Deleted: WG2N13xx

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

**Nov., 12, 2009. (Thu)**

**1. Agenda was fixed after the discussion (WG2N1328)**

**2. Report on the Study project meeting in Wuhan (Aug., 2009).**

Horiuchi-san reported the Study project meeting in Wuhan (Aug., 2009) using WG2N1313.

Keith pointed that MFI is now drifting from the original objectives of interoperability.

There was some discussion on whether mapping is essential and mandatory for interoperability. UK said yes. Some of the others said it was desirable but not mandatory. No consensus was got on this point.

**3. Current status of Progress on MFI & ROR**

2.1 Obayashi-san presented Current status of Progress on MFI-2 and 4 using

2.2 Adachi-san presented the current status of progress of RoR study period project, using WG2N1335.

**4. Problem to be addressed.**

WG2N1323 was reviewed.

**4. Repackaging Issue ~ possibility and problems**

**4.1 User Requirements Specification**

Ray explained the mapping requirement to MDR and MFI standards, using WG2N1333.

**4.2 Architecture issue**

Denise explained RM-ODP (ISO/IEC10746) and UML Profile for RM-ODP (ISO/IEC 19793), using WG2N1334

There was some discussion on the architecture.

See WG2N1332.

**Nov., 13 2009. (Fri)**

**5. Current status of Progress on RGPS**

China presented the current status of progress on RGPS.

Deleted: ¶

¶

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted:

Deleted: bperiod

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

There were comments saying the followings need to be investigated and clarified.

- ✓ Duplication and difference between Part 7 and UDDI
- ✓ Difference Part9 on demand model selection and Part2 ModelSelection
- ✓ Difference between Part4 mapping and Part9 mapping
- ✓ Why some metaclasses now inherit from Part2 ModelClassifier, although there was a consensus that RGPS was independent from Part2 and Part4 at the Study project meeting in Wuhan, August 2009.

Obayashi-san made some additional explanation of the relation between RGPS and MFI Part2 and 4.

#### 6. Repackaging Philosophy

Frank & Dan proposed the basic terminology which should be common to WG2 and the repackage philosophy of MFI and MDR, using WG2N1336, 13367

Horiuchi-san proposed a new architecture to accommodate both MDR & MFI, using WG2N1331.

We agreed that the possibility of the integration of MDR part1 and MFI Part1 needs to be investigated.

#### 7. Registration procedure

Okabe-san explained the commonality and difference between MDR Part6 and MFI Part6, using a draft of WG2N1345.

More discussion would be made during the next-week WG2 interim meeting, whether MFI Part6 is really necessary as a different part of MDR Part6.

#### 8. Wrap up

Based on the discussion so far, the mapping requirements to MDR and MFI standards were revised as WG2N1333R1.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Comment [TA1]: 1335 は、小職のプレゼン資料の番号です。

Deleted: 5

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Deleted: ,

Deleted: .¶

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial