

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N ?????

Date: 2014-06-11

REPLACES: —

| |
|--|
| <p>ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32</p> <p>Data Management and Interchange</p> <p>Secretariat: United States of America (ANSI) Administered by Farance Inc. on behalf of ANSI</p> |
|--|

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| DOCUMENT TYPE | Resolution of Comment |
| TITLE | Draft Resolution of comments in SC32 N2497 on SC32 N2470 ISO/IEC CD 11179-6 Information Technology -- Metadata Registries (MDR) - Part 6: Registration Ed 3 |
| SOURCE | Project Editor |
| PROJECT NUMBER | 1.32.15.03.06.00 |
| STATUS | The document obtained substantial support. WG2 is requested to address the comments and revise the draft text accordingly. |
| REFERENCES | |
| ACTION ID. | ACT |
| REQUESTED ACTION | |
| DUE DATE | |
| Number of Pages | 18 |
| LANGUAGE USED | English |
| DISTRIBUTION | P & L Members SC Chair WG Conveners and Secretaries |

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32
Farance Inc *, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: Timothy@Schoechle.org
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>
*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| CA01 | | | | Ge | The line numbers used in these comments are taken from the PDF version of the ballot text. | N/A | No action required |
| CA02 | 222 | 3.1 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA03 | 227 | 3.2 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA04 | 233 | 3.3 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA05 | 238 | 3.4 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA06 | 244 | 3.5 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA07 | 245 | 3.5 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA08 | 256 | 3.7 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'organization Person' (3.35) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA09 | 267 | 3.9 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'data' (3.8) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA10 | 284 | 3.12 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA11 | 284 | 3.12 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA12 | 294 | 3.14 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA13 | 298 | 3.15 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA14 | 307 | 3.17 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA15 | 312 | 3.18 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA16 | 318 | 3.19 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA17 | 322 | 3.20 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA18 | 327 | 3.21 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA19 | 327 | 3.21 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA20 | 335 | 3.23 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata object' (3.24) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA21 | 351 | 3.25 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA22 | 357 | 3.26 | Note | Ed | The link to 'metadata register' (3.25) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| CA23 | 368 | 3.28 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'organization part identifier' (3.34) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA24 | 374 | 3.29 | Definition | Ed | The reference to 'organization part identifier' is incorrect. It should be (3.34), not (3.28). | Make the correction. | Accepted - done |
| CA25 | 395 | 3.32 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA26 | 406 | 3.34 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA27 | 436 | 3.38 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA28 | 437 | 3.38 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA29 | 441 | 3.39 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken. | Fix the link, and capitalize 'Registration Authority' | Accepted - done |
| CA30 | 445 | 3.40 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA31 | 446 | 3.40 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA32 | 449 | 3.40 | Note | Ed | The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA33 | 453 | 3.41 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA34 | 455 | 3.41 | Note | Ed | The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA35 | 456 | 3.41 | Note | Ed | The link to 'Responsible Organization' (3.48) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA36 | 456 | 3.41 | Note | Ed | The link to 'Submitting Organization' (3.56) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA37 | 469 | 3.44 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA38 | 473 | 3.45 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA39 | 483 | 3.47 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA40 | 484 | 3.47 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA41 | 490 | 3.48 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA42 | 498 | 3.50 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/Figure/Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| CA43 | 505 | 3.51 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA44 | 516 | 3.53 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA45 | 519 | 3.53 | Note | Ed | The link to 'Responsible Organization' (3.48) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA46 | 522 | 3.54 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA47 | 523 | 3.54 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA48 | 534 | 3.56 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA49 | 540 | 3.57 | Definition | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA50 | 558 | 4.2 | para 2 | Ed | The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA51 | 558 | 4.2 | para 2 | Ed | The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA52 | 561 | 4.2 | para 3 | Ed | The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA53 | 573 | 4.3.1 | para 1 | Ed | The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA54 | 574 | 4.3.1 | para 1 | Ed | The link to 'namespace' (3.27) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA55 | 592 | 4.3.3 | para 2 | Te | This clause references ISO/IEC 11179-2, but edition 2 of part 2 is not consistent with edition 3 of part 3. WG2 needs to decide either to withdraw part 2 or create a new edition that is consistent with part 3. If a new edition is created, then it should add value. Edition 2 simply repeats material that is included in part 3 Edition 2, and thus adds no value. | If a third edition of part 2 is authorized, ensure that this clause is consistent with it. If part 2 is withdrawn, change this clause to reference the appropriate sections of part 3. | Discussed at CRM. See also CA71 , JP05 & JP20 . Reference clause 9.2 of part 3, instead of part 2. During the CRM it was agreed that Part 2 should be withdrawn, but subsequently WG2 decided to create a new edition as a TR instead. The change to the reference will still be made, since part 3 exists already. |
| CA56 | 595 | 4.3.4 | para 1 | Ed | The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/Figure/Table/ Table (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| CA57 | 597 | 4.3.4 | para 1 | Ed | The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA58 | 601 | 4.4.1 | para 1 | Ed | The link to 'registration status' (3.45) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA59 | 603 | 4.4.1 | para 1 | Te | The reference to 'administration status' s/b 'administrative status'. (This error also exists in edition 2.) | Make the correction. | Accepted - done |
| CA60 | 603 | 4.4.1 | para 1 | Ed | The link to 'administrative status' (3.3) is broken. | Fix the link. | Accepted - done |
| CA61 | 606 | 4.4.1 | para 3 | Te | The reference to 'administration status' s/b 'administrative status'. (This error also exists in edition 2 clause 4.1.3.) | Make the correction. Also, the term should not be capitalized. | Accepted – done |
| CA62 | 643,644, 652 | 4.4.2 | top of p21 | Te | <p>The note in clause 4.3.2 (lines 586-588) states: "The separation of designation and definition from identification has been done to better harmonize with ISO/IEC 19763-10, in which model elements are identified and are administered, but are not required to be designated or defined. However, best practice is for both designation and definition to be provided for any metadata item."</p> <p>However, clause 4.4.2 lists designation and definition as required even in 'Incomplete Status', so this would require model elements to be both designated and defined in order to be administered.</p> <p>A similar constraint exists at line 652,</p> | WG2 needs to reconcile these differences, and determine what to change. | <p>See also JP04 and US011.</p> <p>Make definition or designation optional in incomplete status, since they are often not clear initially. One or the other should be required or not both. If you don't know either, you don't have enough information to submit the item.</p> <p>Clarify that the required attributes apply only to Administered_Items, and not to Attached_Items, for which only identifier is required. Add this clarification in the NOTE in 4.3.2 as well.</p> |
| CA63 | 696 | 4.4.3 | clause heading | Te | The reference to 'administration status' s/b 'administrative status'. (This error also exists in edition 2 clause 4.1.3.3.) | Make the correction | Accepted |
| CA64 | 725 | 4.5 | item h) | Ed | 'administrative registration statuses' s/b 'administrative statuses'. (This error also exists in | Make the correction | Accepted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | edition 2 clause 4.2.) | | |
| CA65 | 744-753 | 5.1 | para 3 | Te | <p>Clarification is required as to whether Responsible Organization and Stewardship Organization are intended to be one and the same or whether they may be different.</p> <p>'responsible organization' is define at 3.48 as: "organization or unit within an organization that is the authoritative source for attributes of the administered item"</p> <p>This term originates from Edition 1 of this part, though in that edition the definition referred to 'data elements' instead of 'administered items'. The current definition comes from Edition 2</p> <p>'stewardship organization' is defined at 3.53 as: " organization (Error! Reference source not found.) that maintains stewardship (Error! Reference source not found.) of an administered item"</p> <p>This definition is taken from 11179-3, starting in Edition 2. Edition 2 of part 6 also used the term in some places, but did not define it.</p> <p>This part describes the responsibilities of Responsible Organization, but not of Stewardship Organization, except for the definition.</p> <p>The Metamodel in part 3 shows the Stewardship Organization, but not Responsible Organization.</p> <p>Text at line 751 states: "A steward is a contact for a Responsible Organization". Since part 3 associates a stewardship contact with a stewardship organization, this would seem to make th terms synonymous.</p> <p>This part abbreviates the term Responsible Organization as RO, and Submitter Organization</p> | <p>WG2 is requested to provide guidance to the editor as to how to resolve this issue.</p> <p>Perhaps a simple statement that the terms are used interchangeably would suffice.</p> | <p>Discussed at CRM.</p> <p>Make this part consistent with Edition 3 of Part 3. Use Stewardship Org instead of responsible Org, and change acronyms to (StO) and (SuO)</p> |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | as SO. Switching to using Stewardship Organization would cause a conflict in abbreviations. | | |
| CA66 | 757-758 | 5.2.1 | all | Te | The reference to "...the levels of conformance to which each participant ascribes" is not meaningful. Implementations claim conformance, not users. This text also exists in Edition 2. | Delete this part of the sentence. | Accepted |
| CA67 | 784 | 6 | all | Te | Clause 6 lists the clauses that a conforming implementation must conform to. This list includes Annex A, but in Edition 3, Annex A is Informative, not Normative, because other identification schemes are now permitted. | Remove the reference to Annex A from clause 6. | Accepted. Same as GB02 . |
| CA68 | 910 | B.2 | Line 1 | Ed | 'from in' and 'that used' are grammatically incorrect. | Delete 'in', and insert 'are' after 'that'. | Accepted |
| CA69 | 933 | B.2 | Table B-1 top of p.41 | Ed | In the row for contact (superclass), the Contact datatype is missing a link to its specification. | Add the missing link. | Accepted |
| CA70 | 949-950 | B.2 | All | Ed | The empty table should be deleted. | Make the change. | Accepted |
| CA71 | 1747 | Bibliography | [3] | Te | If WG2 decides that ISO/IEC 11179-2 should be withdrawn, then the reference to it should be deleted. | Dependent on the future of 11179-2. | Discussed at CRM. See also JP20 . Leave the reference if the meeting resolutions show a new edition of part 2 will be created, else remove it. |
| CA72 | | All | | Ge | If any further problems are discovered before or during the Comment Resolution Meeting, and a consensus can be reached on a solution, then they should be corrected. | To be determined at the CRM as required. | See CA73 and CA74 below. |
| | | | | | The following comments added since the ballot closed. | | |
| CA73 | 1305-6 | E.1.5.4 | item 1 | Ed | The following sentence does not read well: "The time before that a notification of an | Change: "The time before that..." to "The elapsed time before which..." | Accepted by CRM. |

1 MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | anticipated registration review shall be sent to the Steward prior to the review date." | | |
| CA74 | 1307 | E.1.5.4 | item 2 | Ed | The following sentence does not read well: "The time before that a reminder notice shall be sent again prior to the review date." | Change: "The time before that..." to "The elapsed time before which..." | Accepted by CRM. |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---|
| CN1 | | Introduction | Paragraph 3 | ED | roles and goals | roles and goals models | Change to 'role and goal models'. |
| CN2 | | 2 Normative references | | GE | | Add ISO/IEC 19763 | Not accepted. ISO/IEC 19763-10 is dependent on 11179-6, but not the other way around. References to ISO/IEC 19763 belong in the Bibliography, not in the Normative References. Add ref to the 19763 family.in the Bibliography See also US003 |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| JP01 | 165 to 170 | Foreword | | Ed | This part is in the head of a list. | Correct. | Accepted |
| JP02 | 222 | 3.1 | | Ed | There is a reference error. | Correct. | Accepted |
| JP03 | All | | | Ed | There are a lot of reference errors as same as JP02 in this document. | Correct all errors. | Accepted |
| JP04 | 587 | 4.3.2 Designation and Definition | NOTE | Te | This NOTE may be useful for 11179-5, and not for 11179-6. Moreover, it is not true that model elements are administered. | Delete whole the NOTE. | Discussed at CRM. See also CA62 and US011 . Revise the note as per the resolution of CA62. The reference to 'Administered' should be 'Registered'. Model elements will usually be Attached_Items. |
| JP05 | 592 | 4.3.3. | 2 nd Paragraph | Te | This document refers to 11179-2. See Convenor's report - Santa Fe meeting (WG2N1867). 11179-2 Status The group discussed 11179-2 and agreed that there is no need for Ed3. 11179-3 Ed 3 9.2 Classifications metamodel regions replace the need for a Part 2 in Edition 3.11179-2:2005 should be stabilized." | | Discussed at CRM. See also CA55 & JP20 . See resolution of CA55. |
| JP06 | 607 | 4.4.1 | 2 nd Paragraph | Ed | There are double periods at end of 2nd sentence. "status.." | Change into a single period. | Accepted |
| JP07 | 802 to 806 | A.2 | Notes | Ed | This style of notes is different from style of the other NOTES. | Divide into two notes. | Accepted |
| JP08 | 849 to 867 | A.4.1 | | Ed | There are not upper base and lower base on this inserted block. | It is better to surround this inserted block with a rectangle. | Accepted |
| JP09 | 933 | B.2 | Table B-1 | Ed | The styles of a name differ in the first column | It is better to unite with the style of the name of | Accepted |

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | and ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. | ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. - Any spaces in names are replaced by underscores. - Metadata class names are to have initial capital letters for each word of the name. - All other names are to be totally in lower case. | |
| JP10 | 933 | B.2 | Table B-1 | Ed | The styles of a name differ in the sixth column and ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. The points are place of using metadata class names, "Postal Address" and "Phone Number". | It is better to unite with the style of the name of ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. The style of datatype name is same as metadata class name in ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. | Accepted |
| JP11 | 946 | B.2 | Table B-2 | Ed | The styles of a name differ in the first column and ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. | It is better to unite with the style of the name of ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. - Any spaces in names are replaced by underscores. - Metadata class names are to have initial capital letters for each word of the name. - All other names are to be totally in lower case. | Accepted |
| JP12 | 948 | B3 | After Table B-2 | Ed | There are some blank tables and blank pages. | Delete the blank rows and pages | Accepted |
| JP13 | 1053 to 1095 | C.3 | All lists | Ed | The style of unordered list is different in this sub-clause. The mark of un-ordered list looks shorter than the mark currently used in other sub-clause. | Use the style of the list used in the clause of Foreword. It is better to take consistency for the style of a unordered list by the whole document. | Accepted |
| JP14 | 1114 to 1120 | C.4.1 | Unordered lists | Ed | The unordered list is using mark of Bullet ("• "). | Use the longer Haiphong. It is better to take consistency for the style of unordered list by the whole document. | Accepted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| JP15 | 1219 | D.4 | Ordered list | Ed | This list is starting at "l)" (lower case of "L"). | Ex.) a) Provisionally Qualified - ... b) Provisionally Standard - ... c) Provisionally Preferred - ... | Accepted |
| JP16 | 1249 to 1255 | E.1.1 | | Ed | It is better to change the style of each item (step?) into style of E.2.2. | Ex.) Step1. Preliminary review to ... Step 2. Applicant's review to ... Step 3. Technical review of ... Step 4. Processing the submission ... Step 5. Responding to the applicant ... Step 6. Publish updates, ... | Accepted |
| JP17 | 1258 to 1262 | E.1.2 | List | Ed | These items have no sequentiality. | It is better to use style of unordered list. | Accepted |
| JP18 | 1305 to 1309 | E.1.5.4 | List | Ed | Change style of this list. | Use the style of JPXX, if this list has sequentiality. Use the style of unordered list, if this list has no sequentiality. | Accepted. Use unordered list. |
| JP19 | 1346 to 1505 | Subclause of E.2 | List | Ed | The each unordered lists are using mark of Bullet ("• "). | Use the longer Haiphong. It is better to take consistency for the style of unordered list by the whole document. | Accepted |
| JP20 | 1747 | Bibliography | [3] | Ed | Refer to ISO/IEC 11179-2. | When the reference to ISO/IEC 11179-2 is erased by resolution of JP05, please delete this reference by it. Conversely, if the reference to ISO/IEC 11179-2 remains, also leave this reference. | Discussed at CRM. See also JP05 & CA55 and CA71 . Leave the reference if the meeting resolutions show a new edition of part 2 will be created, else remove it. |

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | End of Comments | | |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| 1 | 2 | (3) | 4 | 5 | (6) | (7) |
|-----------------|---|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| MB ¹ | Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/Table /Note (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comment (justification for change) by the MB | Proposed change by the MB | Secretariat observations on each comment submitted |
| GB 01 | General | | ed | There are many instances of "Error! Reference source not found." throughout the document. This should be rectified before the next draft. | None provided. | Accepted |
| GB 02 | 6 | Line 784 (pdf) | ed | "A conforming implementation shall conform to Clause 4, Clause 5, Annex A, and Annex B." But Annex A is only informative. | Either delete Annex A from Clause 6 or make Annex A normative. | Accepted. Delete Annex A from clause 6. See also CA67 . |
| GB 03 | Annex B - B.2 | Lines 910-911 (pdf) | ed | "This clause provides a summary of the attributes from in ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013 that used for any Administered Item." Extra/missing words. | Amend to read: This clause provides a summary of the attributes from ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013 that are used for any Administered Item. | Accepted |
| GB 04 | Annex B | Table B.1 Line 934 (pdf) | ed | Inconsistency – "Many" or "many". | Use "many" throughout. | Accepted |
| GB 05 | Annex B | Table B.2 Line 947 (pdf) | ed | The meaning of "D" is not explained. | Provide an explanation.. | Accepted. Notes at the bottom of the table were lost when the table was corrupted. " D – mandatory but using Metadata Registry default" |
| GB 06 | Annex B | Line 949 (pdf) | ed | There is a series of empty table rows.. | Delete. | Accepted |

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

| MB/NC ¹ | Line Number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the editor |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| US-001 | 185 | Introduction | 3 rd Paragraph | ed | <p>Value meanings and Classification Schemes are a key part of 11179 that might be registered, might consider adding to this initial list.</p> <p>Also, registration of mapping between models is not mentioned, but is a key aspect of 19763, described in Part 10. Not only can models be registered, but also mapping between models of the same type.</p> | <p>Suggest:</p> <p>Add value meanings and Classification Schemes to the list of 11179-3 items that might be registered.</p> <p>Include 19763-10 mappings.</p> | Accepted |
| US-002 | 192 | Introduction | Extra blank page | ed | There seems to be an extra blank page, but did not print the document, so maybe its intentional. | Check for extra page, delete if necessary. | Accepted |
| US-003 | 211 | 2 | Normative References | te | Since this is to support 11179 and 19763, might 19763-1 and 19763-10 also be normative? | Consider making 19763-1 and 19763-10 normative | Not accepted. See resolution of CN2 |
| US-004 | 222, 227, 233, 238, etc | 3 | General about all clauses in section 3 Terms and Defiitions | ge | The references included in the definitions are 'Error! Reference Source not found' in both the PDF and Doc so they could not be reviewed. | Fix references | Accepted |
| US-005 | 232-234 | 3.3 | administrative status | te | <p>Provide an example of this attribute, since this is key to registration. People often get confused of the difference between administrative status and registration status. , an example will help.</p> <p>Also, this is defined as a designation, which is a sign from 11179-3 Ed3, and example would help implementers understand what is</p> | Add Example | Accepted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| | | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Date: May 8, 2014 | Document: SC32N2470-CD -11179-6 | Project: 1.32.15.03.06.00 |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------|

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line Number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the editor |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | meant by this attribute | | |
| US-006 | 471-474 | 3.45 | Registration status | te | <p>Provide an example of this attribute, since this is key to registration. People often get confused of the difference between administrative status and registration status. , an example will help.</p> <p>Also, this is defined as a designation, which is a sign from 11179-3 Ed3, and example would help implementers understand what is meant by this attribute</p> | Add example. | Accepted |
| US-007 | 537-540 | 3.57 | Version | te | Version is defined in 11179-3 Ed3, this definition Part 5 does not seem to be an appreciably different. If 11179-3:2013 can be reused, then please consider doing so. | Use 11179-3:2013 definition of Version. | Accepted |
| US-008 | 552-553 | 4.1 | Metamodel of a Metadata Registry | te | ISO/IEC 19763 also specifies mappings between models of the same type in Part 10. Registration of mappings is envisioned as a key part of 19763, so this should be mentioned. | <p>Add to last sentence:</p> <p>“This part also applies to the registration of items specified in ISO/IEC 19763, such as models, model elements and mappings.”</p> | Accepted |
| US-009 | 555 | 4.2 | Metadata Items | ed | <p>It mentions a page number, which could change, so the page number should be removed.</p> <p>“Figure 1 on page 18 shows...”</p> | <p>Remove reference to page number</p> <p>“Figure 1 shows...”</p> | Accepted where the Figure is on the same page. The page number is auto-generated, not hard-coded, so the concern is not warranted. A page number is useful when the Figure is on a different page. |
| US-010 | 558-559 | 4.2 | Metadata Items | te | This sentence needs clarification. It is hard to tell what the sentence is referring to. There are no “types” described “above” this sentence. And the headings in Clause 4.3 (4.3.1, 4.3.2, 4.3.3, and 4.3.4) do not match | Clarify the sentence so that it clearly refers to the figure, and introduces the term “Common Facilities” so that the purpose of clause 4.3 is more clearly defined. (Not sure if “common facilities is the best term for this, but whatever the | <p>Accepted</p> <p>The types are those shown in Figure 1, but the Figure was move in relation to the</p> |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line Number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the editor |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>wit the text in Figure 1, so its not clear that 4.3 actually describes the types in figure 1.</p> <p>“Any metadata item entered into a metadata registry may be extended by one or more of the above types, as described in Clause 4.3”</p> | <p>heading of section 4.3 should be introduced in this sentence)</p> <p>“Any metadata item entered into a metadata registry may be extended by one or more of the types in Figure 1. Extending metadata items using one or more of these types provides a means by which to describe common facilities which are described in Clause 4.3”</p> | <p>text. " above types" should be changed to "types shown in Figure 1".</p> |
| US-011 | 586-587 | 4.3.2 | Designation and Definition NOTE | te | <p>This specifies that the use of Designation and Definition are not required for all metadata items (though the text of the NOTE does not state this very clearly). The NOTE goes on to say that including hem are considered best practices. Later in the text in clause 4.4.2 under a) Incomplete, it says that these fields are mandatory (Shall), this is inconsistent.</p> | <p>Make the description of the use of Designation and Definitions consistent.</p> | <p>Discussed at CRM. See also CA62, JP04 and US013 See resolution of CA62.</p> |
| US-012 | 607 | 4.4.1 | General First Sentence | ed | <p>There are two periods at the end of the second sentence.</p> <p>“It identifies the process that is taking place within a registration status..”</p> | <p>Remove extra period.</p> <p>“It identifies the process that is taking place within a registration status.”</p> | <p>Accepted</p> |
| US-013 | 637-647 | 4.4.2 | Summary of registration status categories | te | <p>The description of the attributes Designation and Definition for Clause a) Incomplete, state that these “Shall” exist. But 4.3.2 says they are ‘best practice.</p> <p>This clause also does not say if an item can be in the metadata registry without a Registration Status.</p> | <p>Make it clear and consistent in the description of these two attributes, whether they are required (“shall”) or not. IF they are optional, consider splitting a) incomplete into two lists, those that are mandatory “shall”, and those that are “may”, designation and definition would be in the latter category</p> | <p>Discussed at CRM. Editor to propose text. See also CA62 and US011. Only Administered_Items can have a Registration_Status. An item can be in the registry but not Administered. It may be an Attached_Item, or just an Identified_Item.</p> |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

| MB/NC ¹ | Line Number (e.g. 17) | Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1) | Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1) | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the editor |
|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| US-014 | 637-654 | 4.4.2 | a) Incomplete and b) Candidate | te | Both sub-clauses describe a list of attributes that are needed for this category of registration, but clause a) enumerates the list of attributes (1 identifier, 2) designation, 3), etc.), whereas clause b) includes the attributes as text with commas between them identifier, designation, etc. | Make these two sub-items consistent. | Accepted |
| US-015 | 637-695 | 4.4.2 | general | te | Line 634 introduces the section by the following sentence: "The status category of an Administered Item entry shall be based upon the completeness of the data entered, its accuracy, and its conformance to the established format and syntax." However, Sub-clauses <i>h) Superseded i) Historical and j) Application</i> make no reference to these characteristics. For superseded, does it have to contain all the mandatory attributes? Can any status move directly to superseded? Or only if it were Preferred Standard or Standard? | Add something to sentence to describe the kinds of characteristic/aspects to help implementers understand what attributes are required for use of the documentation categories "The status category of an Administered Item entry shall be based upon the completeness of the data entered, its accuracy, and its conformance to the established format and syntax. For the 2 documentation type of statuses....." | Discussed at CRM. Editor to propose text. Superseded is not a documentation type. An item can move from any prior status to either Retired or Superseded, so the requirements can be no stronger than for Incomplete. Similarly, the requirements for Historical and Application should be no stronger than for Incomplete. |
| US-016 | 696 | 4.4.3 | Description of Administration Status | te | The difference between administration status and registration status has proven difficult for some implementers to understand. | Provide more text to describe how administration and registration status are used together. Provide an example. | Accepted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial