

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32/WG2 N 1928R2

Date: 2014-06-11

REPLACES: —

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Data Management and Interchange

Secretariat: United States of America (ANSI)
Administered by Farance Inc. on behalf of ANSI

DOCUMENT TYPE	Resolution of Comment
TITLE	3 rd Draft Resolution of comments in SC32 N2497 on SC32 N2470 ISO/IEC CD 11179-6 Information Technology -- Metadata Registries (MDR) - Part 6: Registration Ed 3
SOURCE	Project Editor
PROJECT NUMBER	1.32.15.03.06.00
STATUS	The document obtained substantial support. WG2 is requested to address the comments and revise the draft text accordingly.
REFERENCES	
ACTION ID.	ACT
REQUESTED ACTION	
DUE DATE	
Number of Pages	18
LANGUAGE USED	English
DISTRIBUTION	P & L Members SC Chair WG Conveners and Secretaries

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32
Farance Inc *, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: Timothy@Schoechle.org
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>
*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CA01				Ge	The line numbers used in these comments are taken from the PDF version of the ballot text.	N/A	No action required
CA02	222	3.1	Definition	Ed	The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA03	227	3.2	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA04	233	3.3	Definition	Ed	The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA05	238	3.4	Definition	Ed	The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA06	244	3.5	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA07	245	3.5	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA08	256	3.7	Definition	Ed	The link to 'organization Person' (3.35) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA09	267	3.9	Definition	Ed	The link to 'data' (3.8) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA10	284	3.12	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA11	284	3.12	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA12	294	3.14	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA13	298	3.15	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA14	307	3.17	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA15	312	3.18	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA16	318	3.19	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA17	322	3.20	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA18	327	3.21	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA19	327	3.21	Definition	Ed	The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA20	335	3.23	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata object' (3.24) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA21	351	3.25	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA22	357	3.26	Note	Ed	The link to 'metadata register' (3.25) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CA23	368	3.28	Definition	Ed	The link to 'organization part identifier' (3.34) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA24	374	3.29	Definition	Ed	The reference to 'organization part identifier' is incorrect. It should be (3.34), not (3.28).	Make the correction.	Accepted - done
CA25	395	3.32	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA26	406	3.34	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA27	436	3.38	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA28	437	3.38	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA29	441	3.39	Definition	Ed	The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken.	Fix the link, and capitalize 'Registration Authority'	Accepted - done
CA30	445	3.40	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA31	446	3.40	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA32	449	3.40	Note	Ed	The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA33	453	3.41	Definition	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA34	455	3.41	Note	Ed	The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA35	456	3.41	Note	Ed	The link to 'Responsible Organization' (3.48) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA36	456	3.41	Note	Ed	The link to 'Submitting Organization' (3.56) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA37	469	3.44	Definition	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA38	473	3.45	Definition	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA39	483	3.47	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA40	484	3.47	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA41	490	3.48	Definition	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA42	498	3.50	Definition	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CA43	505	3.51	Definition	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA44	516	3.53	Definition	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA45	519	3.53	Note	Ed	The link to 'Responsible Organization' (3.48) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA46	522	3.54	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA47	523	3.54	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA48	534	3.56	Definition	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA49	540	3.57	Definition	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA50	558	4.2	para 2	Ed	The link to 'metadata item' (3.23) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA51	558	4.2	para 2	Ed	The link to 'metadata registry' (3.26) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA52	561	4.2	para 3	Ed	The link to 'Registration Authority' (3.42) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA53	573	4.3.1	para 1	Ed	The link to 'identifier' (3.13) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA54	574	4.3.1	para 1	Ed	The link to 'namespace' (3.27) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA55	592	4.3.3	para 2	Te	This clause references ISO/IEC 11179-2, but edition 2 of part 2 is not consistent with edition 3 of part 3. WG2 needs to decide either to withdraw part 2 or create a new edition that is consistent with part 3. If a new edition is created, then it should add value. Edition 2 simply repeats material that is included in part 3 Edition 2, and thus adds no value.	If a third edition of part 2 is authorized, ensure that this clause is consistent with it. If part 2 is withdrawn, change this clause to reference the appropriate sections of part 3.	Discussed at CRM. See also CA71 , JP05 & JP20 . WG2 has decided to create a new edition of part 2, but the reference here will be changed to reference clause 9.2 of part 3, instead, since part 3 exists already. Done.
CA56	595	4.3.4	para 1	Ed	The link to 'registered item' (3.38) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA57	597	4.3.4	para 1	Ed	The link to 'administered item' (3.1) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA58	601	4.4.1	para 1	Ed	The link to 'registration status' (3.45) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CA59	603	4.4.1	para 1	Te	The reference to 'administration status' s/b 'administrative status'. (This error also exists in edition 2.)	Make the correction.	Accepted - done
CA60	603	4.4.1	para 1	Ed	The link to 'administrative status' (3.3) is broken.	Fix the link.	Accepted - done
CA61	606	4.4.1	para 3	Te	The reference to 'administration status' s/b 'administrative status'. (This error also exists in edition 2 clause 4.1.3.)	Make the correction. Also, the term should not be capitalized.	Accepted – done
CA62	643,644, 652	4.4.2	top of p21	Te	<p>The note in clause 4.3.2 (lines 586-588) states: "The separation of designation and definition from identification has been done to better harmonize with ISO/IEC 19763-10, in which model elements are identified and are administered, but are not required to be designated or defined. However, best practice is for both designation and definition to be provided for any metadata item."</p> <p>However, clause 4.4.2 lists designation and definition as required even in 'Incomplete Status', so this would require model elements to be both designated and defined in order to be administered.</p> <p>A similar constraint exists at line 652,</p>	WG2 needs to reconcile these differences, and determine what to change.	<p>See also JP04 and US011.</p> <p>Clarify that the required attributes apply only to Administered_Items, and not to Attached_Items, for which only identifier is required. Add this clarification in the NOTE in 4.3.2 as well.</p> <p>Make definition or designation optional in incomplete status, since they are often not clear initially.</p> <p>Done.</p>
CA63	696	4.4.3	clause heading	Te	The reference to 'administration status' s/b 'administrative status'. (This error also exists in edition 2 clause 4.1.3.3.)	Make the correction	Accepted – done
CA64	725	4.5	item h)	Ed	'administrative registration statuses' s/b 'administrative statuses'. (This error also exists in edition 2 clause 4.2.)	Make the correction	Accepted – done
CA65	744-753	5.1	para 3	Te	<p>Clarification is required as to whether Responsible Organization and Stewardship Organization are intended to be one and the same or whether they may be different.</p> <p>'responsible organization' is define at 3.48 as:</p>	<p>WG2 is requested to provide guidance to the editor as to how to resolve this issue.</p> <p>Perhaps a simple statement that the terms are used interchangeably would suffice.</p>	<p>Discussed at CRM.</p> <p>Make this part consistent with Edition 3 of Part 3. Use Stewardship Org instead of responsible Org,</p>

1 MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

MB/NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/Figure/Table/ Table (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
					<p>"organization or unit within an organization that is the authoritative source for attributes of the administered item"</p> <p>This term originates from Edition 1 of this part, though in that edition the definition referred to 'data elements' instead of 'administered items'. The current definition comes from Edition 2</p> <p>'stewardship organization' is defined at 3.53 as:</p> <p>" organization (Error! Reference source not found.) that maintains stewardship (Error! Reference source not found.) of an administered item"</p> <p>This definition is taken from 11179-3, starting in Edition 2. Edition 2 of part 6 also used the term in some places, but did not define it.</p> <p>This part describes the responsibilities of Responsible Organization, but not of Stewardship Organization, except for the definition.</p> <p>The Metamodel in part 3 shows the Stewardship Organization, but not Responsible Organization.</p> <p>Text at line 751 states: "A steward is a contact for a Responsible Organization". Since part 3 associates a stewardship contact with a stewardship organization, this would seem to make th terms synonymous.</p> <p>This part abbreviates the term Responsible Organization as RO, and Submitter Organization as SO. Switching to using Stewardship Organization would cause a conflict in abbreviations.</p>		and change acronyms to (StO) and (SuO) Done.
CA66	757-758	5.2.1	all	Te	The reference to "...the levels of conformance to which each participant ascribes" is not meaningful.	Delete this part of the sentence.	Accepted with modification . Change 'each participant' to 'the registry'.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
					Implementations claim conformance, not users. This text also exists in Edition 2.		Done.
CA67	784	6	all	Te	Clause 6 lists the clauses that a conforming implementation must conform to. This list includes Annex A, but in Edition 3, Annex A is Informative, not Normative, because other identification schemes are now permitted.	Remove the reference to Annex A from clause 6.	Accepted. Same as GB02 . Done.
CA68	910	B.2	Line 1	Ed	'from in' and 'that used' are grammatically incorrect.	Delete 'in', and insert 'are' after 'that'.	Accepted – done Same as GB03 .
CA69	933	B.2	Table B-1 top of p.41	Ed	In the row for contact (superclass), the Contact datatype is missing a link to its specification.	Add the missing link.	Accepted - done
CA70	949-950	B.2	All	Ed	The empty table should be deleted.	Make the change.	Accepted - done
CA71	1747	Bibliography	[3]	Te	If WG2 decides that ISO/IEC 11179-2 should be withdrawn, then the reference to it should be deleted.	Dependent on the future of 11179-2.	Discussed at CRM. See also JP20 . Leave the reference if the meeting resolutions show a new edition of part 2 will be created, else remove it. No action needed.
CA72		All		Ge	If any further problems are discovered before or during the Comment Resolution Meeting, and a consensus can be reached on a solution, then they should be corrected.	To be determined at the CRM as required.	See CA73 and CA74 below.
					The following comments added since the ballot closed.		
CA73	1305-6	E.1.5.4	item 1	Ed	The following sentence does not read well: "The time before that a notification of an anticipated registration review shall be sent to the Steward prior to the review date."	Change: "The time before that..." to "The elapsed time before which..."	Accepted by CRM. Done.
CA74	1307	E.1.5.4	item 2	Ed	The following sentence does not read well: "The time before that a reminder notice shall	Change: "The time before that..." to "The elapsed time before which..."	Accepted by CRM. Done

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2014-06-22	Document: 32N2470 CD 11179-6	Project:
------------------	------------------------------	----------

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
					be sent again prior to the review date."		

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CN1		Introduction	Paragraph 3	ED	roles and goals	roles and goals models	Change to 'role and goal models'. - done
CN2		2 Normative references		GE		Add ISO/IEC 19763	Not accepted. ISO/IEC 19763-10 is dependent on 11179-6, but not the other way around. References to ISO/IEC 19763 belong in the Bibliography, not in the Normative References. Add ref to the 19763 family.in the Bibliography (Done) See also US003

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
JP01	165 to 170	Foreword		Ed	This part is in the head of a list.	Correct.	Accepted –done
JP02	222	3.1		Ed	There is a reference error.	Correct.	Accepted - done
JP03	All			Ed	There are a lot of reference errors as same as JP02 in this document.	Correct all errors.	Accepted - done
JP04	587	4.3.2 Designation and Definition	NOTE	Te	This NOTE may be useful for 11179-5, and not for 11179-6. Moreover, it is not true that model elements are administered.	Delete whole the NOTE.	Discussed at CRM. See also CA62 and US011 . Revised the first sentence in the note as per the resolution of CA62. "The separation of designation and definition from identification has been made because Attached_ Items are not required to be designated or defined" Done
JP05	592	4.3.3.	2 nd Paragraph	Te	This document refers to 11179-2. See Convenor's report - Santa Fe meeting (WG2N1867). 11179-2 Status The group discussed 11179-2 and agreed that there is no need for Ed3. 11179-3 Ed 3 9.2 Classifications metamodel regions replace the need for a Part 2 in Edition 3.11179-2:2005 should be stabilized."		Discussed at CRM. See also CA55 & JP20 . See resolution of CA55.
JP06	607	4.4.1	2 nd Paragraph	Ed	There are double periods at end of 2nd sentence. "status.."	Change into a single period.	Accepted – done.
JP07	802 to 806	A.2	Notes	Ed	This style of notes is different from style of the other NOTES.	Divide into two notes.	Accepted - done

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
JP08	849 to 867	A.4.1		Ed	There are not upper base and lower base on this inserted block.	It is better to surround this inserted block with a rectangle.	Accepted – done.
JP09	933	B.2	Table B-1	Ed	The styles of a name differ in the first column and ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013.	It is better to unite with the style of the name of ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. - Any spaces in names are replaced by underscores. - Metadata class names are to have initial capital letters for each word of the name. - All other names are to be totally in lower case.	Accepted -done
JP10	933	B.2	Table B-1	Ed	The styles of a name differ in the sixth column and ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. The points are place of using metadata class names, "Postal Address" and "Phone Number".	It is better to unite with the style of the name of ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. The style of datatype name is same as metadata class name in ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013.	Accepted - done
JP11	946	B.2	Table B-2	Ed	The styles of a name differ in the first column and ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013.	It is better to unite with the style of the name of ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013. - Any spaces in names are replaced by underscores. - Metadata class names are to have initial capital letters for each word of the name. - All other names are to be totally in lower case.	Accepted - done
JP12	948	B3	After Table B-2	Ed	There are some blank tables and blank pages.	Delete the blank rows and pages	Accepted – done.
JP13	1053 to 1095	C.3	All lists	Ed	The style of unordered list is different in this sub-clause. The mark of un-ordered list looks shorter than the mark currently used in other sub-clause.	Use the style of the list used in the clause of Foreword. It is better to take consistency for the style of a unordered list by the whole document.	Accepted - done

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
JP14	1114 to 1120	C.4.1	Unordered lists	Ed	The unordered list is using mark of Bullet ("• ").	Use the longer Haiphong. It is better to take consistency for the style of unordered list by the whole document.	Accepted -done
JP15	1219	D.4	Ordered list	Ed	This list is starting at "l)" (lower case of "L").	Ex.) a) Provisionally Qualified - ... b) Provisionally Standard - ... c) Provisionally Preferred - ...	Accepted -done
JP16	1249 to 1255	E.1.1		Ed	It is better to change the style of each item (step?) into style of E.2.2.	Ex.) Step1. Preliminary review to ... Step 2. Applicant's review to ... Step 3. Technical review of ... Step 4. Processing the submission ... Step 5. Responding to the applicant ... Step 6. Publish updates, ...	Accepted - done
JP17	1258 to 1262	E.1.2	List	Ed	These items have no sequentiality.	It is better to use style of unordered list.	Accepted -done
JP18	1305 to 1309	E.1.5.4	List	Ed	Change style of this list.	Use the style of JPXX, if this list has sequentiality. Use the style of unordered list, if this list has no sequentiality.	Accepted. Use unordered list. Done.
JP19	1346 to 1505	Subclause of E.2	List	Ed	The each unordered lists are using mark of Bullet ("• ").	Use the longer Haiphong. It is better to take consistency for the style of unordered list by the whole document.	Accepted - done
JP20	1747	Bibliography	[3]	Ed	Refer to ISO/IEC 11179-2.	When the reference to ISO/IEC 11179-2 is erased by resolution of JP05, please delete this reference by it. Conversely, if the reference to ISO/IEC 11179-2	Discussed at CRM. See also JP05 & CA55 and CA71 . Leave the reference since

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
						remains, also leave this reference.	the meeting resolutions show a new edition of part 2 will be created.
					End of Comments		

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table /Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB 01	General		ed	There are many instances of "Error! Reference source not found." throughout the document. This should be rectified before the next draft.	None provided.	Accepted – done.
GB 02	6	Line 784 (pdf)	ed	"A conforming implementation shall conform to Clause 4, Clause 5, Annex A, and Annex B." But Annex A is only informative.	Either delete Annex A from Clause 6 or make Annex A normative.	Accepted. Delete Annex A from clause 6. See also CA67 . Done.
GB 03	Annex B - B.2	Lines 910-911 (pdf)	ed	"This clause provides a summary of the attributes from in ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013 that used for any Administered Item." Extra/missing words.	Amend to read: This clause provides a summary of the attributes from ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013 that are used for any Administered Item.	Accepted – Done. Same as CA68 .
GB 04	Annex B	Table B.1 Line 934 (pdf)	ed	Inconsistency – "Many" or "many".	Use "many" throughout.	Accepted – done.
GB 05	Annex B	Table B.2 Line 947 (pdf)	ed	The meaning of "D" is not explained.	Provide an explanation..	Accepted. - done Notes at the bottom of the table were lost when the table was corrupted. " D – mandatory but using Metadata Registry default"
GB 06	Annex B	Line 949 (pdf)	ed	There is a series of empty table rows..	Delete.	Accepted - done

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Date: May 8, 2014	Document: SC32N2470-CD -11179-6	Project: 1.32.15.03.06.00
-------------------	--	---------------------------

MB/NC ¹	Line Number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the editor
US-001	185	Introduction	3 rd Paragraph	ed	<p>Value meanings and Classification Schemes are a key part of 11179 that might be registered, might consider adding to this initial list.</p> <p>Also, registration of mapping between models is not mentioned, but is a key aspect of 19763, described in Part 10. Not only can models be registered, but also mapping between models of the same type.</p>	<p>Suggest:</p> <p>Add value meanings and Classification Schemes to the list of 11179-3 items that might be registered.</p> <p>Include 19763-10 mappings.</p>	<p>Accepted –done</p> <p>Also changed 'forms' to 'form designs' consistent with the renaming of 19763-13.</p>
US-002	192	Introduction	Extra blank page	ed	<p>There seems to be an extra blank page, but did not print the document, so maybe its intentional.</p>	<p>Check for extra page, delete if necessary.</p>	<p>The extra page is a result of the ISO template, which wants to start the Scope section on an odd page, even if the previous page was an odd numbered page.</p> <p>Action: Renumbered the Scope page to page 1.</p>
US-003	211	2	Normative References	te	<p>Since this is to support 11179 and 19763, might 19763-1 and 19763-10 also be normative?</p>	<p>Consider making 19763-1 and 19763-10 normative</p>	<p>Not accepted.</p> <p>See resolution of CN2</p>
US-004	222, 227, 233, 238, etc	3	General about all clauses in section 3 Terms and Defiitions	ge	<p>The references included in the definitions are 'Error! Reference Source not found' in both the PDF and Doc so they could not be reviewed.</p>	<p>Fix references</p>	<p>Accepted – done.</p>
US-005	232-234	3.3	administrative status	te	<p>Provide an example of this attribute, since this is key to registration. People often get confused of the difference between administrative status and registration status. , an example will help.</p>	<p>Add Example</p>	<p>Accepted – done.</p> <p>Added xref to clause 4.4.3 and Annex E,3, and added examples there.</p>

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Date: May 8, 2014	Document: SC32N2470-CD -11179-6	Project: 1.32.15.03.06.00
-------------------	--	---------------------------

MB/NC ¹	Line Number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the editor
					Also, this is defined as a designation, which is a sign from 11179-3 Ed3, and example would help implementers understand what is meant by this attribute		
US-006	471-474	3.45	Registration status	te	<p>Provide an example of this attribute, since this is key to registration. People often get confused of the difference between administrative status and registration status. , an example will help.</p> <p>Also, this is defined as a designation, which is a sign from 11179-3 Ed3, and example would help implementers understand what is meant by this attribute</p>	Add example.	<p>Accepted – done</p> <p>Added xref to clause 4.4.2 which lists and explains the status values.</p>
US-007	537-540	3.57	Version	te	Version is defined in 11179-3 Ed3, this definition Part 5 does not seem to be an appreciably different. If 11179-3:2013 can be reused, then please consider doing so.	Use 11179-3:2013 definition of Version.	<p>Accepted - done</p> <p>Requires changes to Annex A</p>
US-008	552-553	4.1	Metamodel of a Metadata Registry	te	ISO/IEC 19763 also specifies mappings between models of the same type in Part 10. Registration of mappings is envisioned as a key part of 19763, so this should be mentioned.	<p>Add to last sentence:</p> <p>“This part also applies to the registration of items specified in ISO/IEC 19763, such as models, model elements and mappings.”</p>	Accepted – done.
US-009	555	4.2	Metadata Items	ed	<p>It mentions a page number, which could change, so the page number should be removed.</p> <p>“Figure 1 on page 18 shows...”</p>	<p>Remove reference to page number</p> <p>“Figure 1 shows...”</p>	Accepted - done.
US-010	558-559	4.2	Metadata Items	te	This sentence needs clarification. It is hard to tell what the sentence is referring to.	Clarify the sentence so that it clearly refers to the figure, and introduces the term “Common	<p>Accepted – done.</p> <p>The types are those shown</p>

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line Number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the editor
					<p>There are no “types” described “above” this sentence. And the headings in Clause 4.3 (4.3.1, 4.3.2, 4.3.3, and 4.3.4) do not match wit the text in Figure 1, so its not clear that 4.3 actually describes the types in figure 1.</p> <p>“Any metadata item entered into a metadata registry may be extended by one or more of the above types, as described in Clause 4.3”</p>	<p>Facilities” so that the purpose of clause 4.3 is more clearly defined. (Not sure if “common facilities is the best term for this, but whatever the heading of section 4.3 should be introduced in this sentence)</p> <p>“Any metadata item entered into a metadata registry may be extended by one or more of the types in Figure 1. Extending metadata items using one or more of these types provides a means by which to describe common facilities which are described in Clause 4.3”</p>	<p>in Figure 1, but the Figure was move in relation to the text. " above types" should be changed to "types shown in Figure 1".</p>
US-011	586-587	4.3.2	Designation and Definition NOTE	te	<p>This specifies that the use of Designation and Definition are not required for all metadata items (though the text of the NOTE does not state this very clearly). The NOTE goes on to say that including hem are considered best practices. Later in the text in clause 4.4.2 under a) Incomplete, it says that these fields are mandatory (Shall), this is inconsistent.</p>	<p>Make the description of the use of Designation and Definitions consistent.</p>	<p>Discussed at CRM. See also CA62, JP04 and US013 See resolution of CA62.</p>
US-012	607	4.4.1	General First Sentence	ed	<p>There are two periods at the end of the second sentence.</p> <p>“It identifies the process that is taking place within a registration status.”</p>	<p>Remove extra period.</p> <p>“It identifies the process that is taking place within a registration status.”</p>	<p>Accepted - done</p>
US-013	637-647	4.4.2	Summary of registration status categories	te	<p>The description of the attributes Designation and Definition for Clause a) Incomplete, state that these “Shall” exist. But 4.3.2 says they are ‘best practice.</p> <p>This clause also does not say if an item can be in the metadata registry without a</p>	<p>Make it clear and consistent in the description of these two attributes, whether they are required (“shall”) or not. IF they are optional, consider splitting a) incomplete into two lists, those that are mandatory “shall”, and those that are “may”, designation and definition would be in the latter category</p>	<p>Discussed at CRM. Editor to propose text. See also CA62 and US011. Only Administered_Items can have a Registration_Status. An item can be in the registry but not</p>

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Date: May 8, 2014	Document: SC32N2470-CD -11179-6	Project: 1.32.15.03.06.00
-------------------	--	---------------------------

MB/ NC ¹	Line Number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the editor
					Registration Status.		Administered. It may be an Attached_Item, or just an Identified_Item. Any attribute that is not mandatory is optional. Optional attributes are not listed. See resolution of CA62,
US-014	637-654	4.4.2	a) Incomplete and b) Candidate	te	Both sub-clauses describe a list of attributes that are needed for this category of registration, but clause a) enumerates the list of attributes (1 identifier, 2) designation, 3), etc.), whereas clause b) includes the attributes as text with commas between them identifier, designation, etc.	Make these two sub-items consistent.	Accepted - done
US-015	637-695	4.4.2	general	te	Line 634 introduces the section by the following sentence: "The status category of an Administered Item entry shall be based upon the completeness of the data entered, its accuracy, and its conformance to the established format and syntax." However, Sub-clauses <i>h) Superseded i) Historical and j) Application</i> make no reference to these characteristics. For superseded, does it have to contain all the mandatory attributes? Can any status move directly to superseded? Or only if it were Preferred Standard or Standard?	Add something to sentence to describe the kinds of characteristic/aspects to help implementers understand what attributes are required for use of the documentation categories "The status category of an Administered Item entry shall be based upon the completeness of the data entered, its accuracy, and its conformance to the established format and syntax. For the 2 documentation type of statuses....."	Discussed at CRM. Editor to propose text. Superseded is not a documentation type. An item can move from any prior status to either Retired or Superseded, so the requirements can be no stronger than for Incomplete. Similarly, the requirements for Historical and Application should be no stronger than for Incomplete. Done.
US-	696	4.4.3	Description	te	The difference between administration status	Provide more text to describe how administration	Accepted – done.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Date: May 8, 2014	Document: SC32N2470-CD -11179-6	Project: 1.32.15.03.06.00
-------------------	--	---------------------------

MB/ NC ¹	Line Number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the editor
016			of Administatio n Status		and registration status has proven difficult for some implementers to understand.	and registration status are used together. Provide an example.	Example added in Annex E.3.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial