

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N 2485

Date: 2014-05-06

REPLACES: —

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Data Management and Interchange

Secretariat: United States of America (ANSI)
Administered by Farance Inc. on behalf of ANSI

DOCUMENT TYPE	Summary of Voting/Table of Replies
TITLE	Summary of Voting on 32N2461 PDTR5 19763-9 Information technology - Metamodel framework for interoperability (MFI) Part 9: On demand model selection
SOURCE	SC32 Secretariat
PROJECT NUMBER	1.32.22.01.09.00
STATUS	The document obtained substantial support. WG2 is requested to address the comments and revise the draft text accordingly.
REFERENCES	
ACTION ID.	ACT
REQUESTED ACTION	
DUE DATE	
Number of Pages	6
LANGUAGE USED	English
DISTRIBUTION	P & L Members SC Chair WG Conveners and Secretaries

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32
Farance Inc *, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: Timothy@Schoechle.org
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>
*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N2485

Summary of Voting on Document SC 32 N 2461

Title: PDTR5 19763-9 Information technology - Metamodel framework for interoperability (MFI) Part 9: On demand model selection

Project: 1.32.22.01.09.00

"P" Member	Approval	Approval with Comments	Disapproval with Comments	Abstention with Comments
Canada	1			
Côte d'Ivoire				1
China	1			
Czech Republic	1			
Egypt	1			
Finland				1
Germany				1
India				1
Japan		1		
Korea, Republic of	1			
Portugal				1
Russian Federation	1			
United Kingdom		1		
United States				
Total "P"	6	2	0	5
"O" Member				
Austria				
Belgium				
France				1
Ghana				
Hungary				
Indonesia				
Italy				
Kazakhstan				
Norway				
Romania				
Poland				
Sweden				
Switzerland				
Total "O"				

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Farance Inc *, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America

Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: Timothy@Schoechle.org

available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>

*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

COMMENTS:

Côte d'Ivoire

ABSTAIN. Lack of expertise and interest.

Finland

ABSTAIN. Lack of expertise and interest.

Germany

ABSTAIN. Lack of expertise and interest.

India

ABSTAIN. Lack of expertise and interest.

Japan

YES. See comments below:

Portugal

ABSTAIN. Lack of expertise and interest.

United Kingdom

YES. See comments below:

MB/NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/Figure/Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
JP01	346	6.1	Figure 4	ed	The subsequent processes in Step3: from Goal to Service are NOT represented in Figure 4.	The arrows which represent the subsequent sub-steps in Step3 should be added.	Need to discuss. We have mentioned in the text that “the subsequent selection process follows Step 1 and Step 2.” If these arrows are added in the figure, these arrows will be duplicated and thus the figure might be more complex.
JP02	423	Annex A	Figure A.1	ed	This figure includes no example of the goal-service association.	This figure should include the example of Step1 in Figure 4-“Model selection from goal to service”.	Need to discuss. Step 1 in Figure 4 is an optional step. It may return no result, and then go to step 2.
JP03	423	Annex A	Figure A.1	ed	The role “Sales_Agent” in this figure has only one relation with the goal “Deliver_Goods_To_Other_People”.	This figure should include the example of Step3 in Figure 4-“Model selection from goal to service”.	Need to discuss. Step 3 in Figure 4 is an optional step. As mentioned in step 2, “ If the returned results can meet the user-requests, then the model selection process will end. Otherwise, the following step is taken.”
JP04	423	Annex A	Figure A.1	ed	“Agent” in Legend is NOT appropriate.	The word “Role” in RGPS should be used.	Need to discuss. Agent is a concept defined in KAOS. In Figure A.1, the example of Role-Goal model is described in KAOS.
JP05	423	Annex A	Figure A.1	ed	The object which represents Process is blank.	The words “Process Name” should be added in the object.	Accept

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
JP06	423	Annex A	Figure A.1	ed	The object which represents Service is blank.	The words "Service Name" should be added in the object.	Accept
JP07	427	Annex A	Figure A.2	ed	In Object101: There is no example data of "identifier" attribute. "Role_Goal_Modelling_Language" has "identifier" attribute which is inherited from super class ("Model_Language" metaclass). Multiplicity of "identifier" attribute is "1..1", it is not omissible.	Insert example data of "identifier".	Accept. Need to follow MFI-5,7,8
JP08	427	Annex A	Figure A.2	ed	In Object102: There is no example data of "identifier" attribute. "Role_Goal_Model" has "identifier" attribute which is inherited from super class ("Model" metaclass). Multiplicity of "identifier" attribute is "1..1", it is not omissible.	Insert example data of "identifier".	Accept. Need to follow MFI-5,7,8
JP09		Annex A	Figure A.3, A.4	ed	The Figure A.3 and Figure A.4 have the same comments as JP07 and JP08.	The same correction as the Figure A.2 is made.	Accept. Need to follow MFI-5,7,8
					End of comments		

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table /Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB 01	4.2	Para 4, 5 and Figure 2 – lines 250-262 (pdf)	ed	There is now optional annotation of each model and model element in part 10 and the individual annotation references in parts 5, 7 and 8 have been removed. This text still implies that there are individual annotation references in the individual parts and this might be confusing. This text should, therefore, be revised to reflect the current situation.	None provided.	Accept. Revised as: Similarly, in parts 5, 7 and 8 of ISO/IEC 19763, the metaclasses of role, process, process model, event, resource, service, service operation, input and output could also be annotated by concepts from domain ontologies, and these annotations can be used to support model discovery across registries based on the metamodels defined in the various parts of ISO/IEC 19763. Please note that these domain ontologies should be registered in a registry based on the metamodel defined in ISO/IEC 19763-3.
GB 02	5.2	Last para – line 325 (pdf)	te	“and assertion (e.g., high, low or medium)”: In part 7 qos_assertion does not have any attributes, so how is this state of high, low or medium recorded? Should qos_assertion in part 7 have an attribute?	None provided.	Accept. Revised as: “and the expression of assertion (e.g., high, low or medium)”

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.